MODELLING THE EFFECT OF BURIED VALLEYS ON GROUNDWATER FLOW: CASE STUDY IN VENTSPILS VICINITY, LATVIA

Aija Dēliņa, Konrāds Popovs, Jānis Bikše, Inga Retiķe, Alise Babre, Gunta Kalvāne

Centre of Geological Processes Research and Modelling, Faculty of Geography and Earth Science, University of Latvia, Latvia. E-mail: aija.delina@lu.lv

INTRODUCTION

Buried subglacial valleys are widely distributed in glaciated regions and they can have great influence on groundwater flow and hence on groundwater resources.

The aim of this study is to evaluate the effect of the buried valleys on groundwater flow in a confined aquifer (Middle Devonian Eifelian stage Arukila aquifer, D_2ar) applying numerical modeling.

STUDY AREA

The vicinity of Ventspils was chosen, as there are number of the buried valleys with different depth and filling, and sufficient amount of geological and hydrogeological data for the model development.

Geological structure and hydrogeological conditions of the area

The study area is situated on Piejūra lowland Rinda plain, where thin layer of Quaternary sediments are exposed, thickness varies form 10 to 20 meters, Prequaternary sediments are exposed at some places .

Quaternary deposits consist of Weichelian glacial till with rare sand and gravel lenses and interlayers, partially covered by the Baltic Ice Lake sand deposits. The depth of the buried subglacial valleys varies from 60-80 m up to 210-230 m (Fig. 1). These valleys are filled with Quaternary sediments of different origin – both glacial till loam and sand with gravel (Fig. 1).

Deposits of the Middle Devonian Arukila and Burtnieki formations are found on the sub-Quaternary surface, and Narva Formation deposits are present there within the buried valleys (Fig. 2).

The groundwater is mainly bound to deposits of Arukila formation – sandstone and siltstone interbedding. The groundwater flow in the confined Arukila aquifer is to the West, towards the Baltic Sea. Groundwater level in the area is 10-20 m amsl (Fig. 2).



Fig. 1. Generalised geolocigal cross-section along line W-E



Fig. 2. Map of subquaternary deposits of the study area (Mūrnieks 1998) and piezometric level of D_2ar confined aquifer (based on data from LVGMC)

MATERIALS

Geological and hydrogeological data for compilation of numerical model (geological structure, aquifer properties, boundary conditions) were obtained from data base of Latvian Environmental, Geological and Meteorological centre (LVGMC) and archive data of company «Udeka» managing wellfield Ogsils.

MODEL SETUP

Numerical model was built within MOSYS modelling system (Virbulis et al. 2012) using finite element triangular mesh. A 3D Darcy flow with free-surfaces and anisotropic conductivity (Table 1) is assumed for the steady-state solution. As boundary conditions, water level of largest rivers, lakes and sea were defined as tophead (Fig. 3) with slightly variable recharge of 1.4-1.5 e-5 m/day in uppermost layer. Numerical model covers territory of 45x30km.

Modeling approach involved building two structures – without valleys and with burried valleys, where different hydraulic conductivity values were applied for the valleys filling. The main structure for calculations included buried valleys, and the structure without valleys was created as a reference one. Hydraulic conductivity values of sediments of the buried valleys were asigned, depending on sediment type mainly filling the valley.



Fig. 3. Boundary condition values at the earth's surface

Table 1. Hydraulic conductivity values in the model

Model layer	Kxy, m/day	Kz, m/day
m,lgQ3-4	1.5	0.5
gQ3	0.04	0.0003
D3gj	10	8
D2br*	0.0006	0.00006
D2br	6	4
D2ar*	0.0006	0.00006
D2ar	7.5	5
D2nr*	1.8e-9	1.8e-9
D2pr	5	3
Valleys (gravel)	15	10
Valleys (till loam)	0.004	0.0003
Valleys (sand, clay)	15	2

MODEL CALIBRATION

Model was calibrated using observed water level data before and after wellfield construction. Measured and modelled levels were compared (Fig. 4), and afterwards aquifer properties and recharge conditions were adjusted to achieve the best correspondence between observed and modelled groudwater level values. Although modelling results after the calibration were improved in most locations (head difference 1-2 m), there were some areas, where most likely other factors influence groundwater level rather than just above mentioned, because there difference between the measured and observed values was high, reaching 8-10 m.



Fig. 4. Modelled (fill) and observed (dots) groundwater level values in D2ar aquifer after calibration. D2ar aquifer piezometric heads correlation graph.

RESULTS

Permeable valleys serve as continuation of cut aquifer and no disruptions in piezometric head distribution in the particular aquifer are observed. They serve as recharge areas for the aquifer as well. Low permeable valleys do not facilitate aquifer recharge and disturb groundwater flow within the aquifer which is shown by the disturbances in piezometric head distribution near valleys (Fig. 5A and 6). Structure without valleys shows similar general pattern of groundwater flow, but significant discharge areas bound to high permeability valleys are skipped (Fig. 5B and 7).



Fig. 5. Modelled piezometric heads in the Arukila confined aquifer: A: Structure with buried valleys filled with sand - gravel and glacial till loam sediments, B: Structure without valleys. Thick black line shows location of cross-section A-B on Fig. 6 and 7.



Fig. 6. Modelled piezometric head distribution and flow directions near buried valleys



Fig. 7. Modelled piezometric head distribution in structure without burried valleys

SUMMARY

The results approve initial suggestions that buried valleys filled with till sediments and cutting into confined aquifer serves as a barrier in groundwater flow, causing sharp drop of piezometric head and downward flow next to the valley (Fig. 6). And on contrary, valleys filled with sand and gravel sediments have minimal influence on piezometric head distribution (Fig. 5a), but facilitate recharge from shallower aquifers and groundwater exchange within the valley. The study shows that piezometric head distribution disturbances within the structure with buried valleys are spatially limited next to the valleys comparing to the structure without valleys.

REFERENCES

Mūrnieks A., 1998. Prequaternary deposits. In: Āboltiņš, O., Kuršs, V. (eds.). *Geological map of Latvia, Scale 1:200 000, Sheet 41 – Ventspils. Explanatory note*. State Geological Survey, Riga, 48 p.

Virbulis, J., Timuhins, A., Klints, I., Seņņikovs, J., Bethers, U., Popovs, K. 2012. Script based MOSYS system for the generation of a three dimensional geological structure and the calculation of groundwater flow: case study of the Baltic Artesian Basin. In: *Highlights of groundwater research in the Baltic Artesian Basin*. University of Latvia, Riga, pp. 53-74.

Acknowledgment: This study is supported by the European Regional Development Fund project Nr.2013/0054/2DP/2.1.1.1.0/13/APIA/VIAA/007 in Latvia.

EIROPAS REĢIONĀLĀS ATTĪSTĪBAS FONDS

IEGULDĪJUMS TAVĀ NĀKOTNĒ

EIROPAS SAVIENĪBA